

Message

From: Salemi, Charles (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CHARLES.SALEMI]
Sent: 4/20/2012 3:00:31 PM
To: Han, Linda (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Linda.Han]; O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Elisabeth.O'Brien]
CC: Nassif, Julianne (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Julianne.Nassif]
Subject: RE: Com. v. [REDACTED] PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION

Hi Linda, Annie first tested item [REDACTED] on 12/2/2009. She tested 1 of 8 bags which resulted in a net weight of .23 grams of Heroin. The sample was resubmitted 4/14/10 so that the remaining bags could be tested. Annie completed this analysis 4/28/10. The net weight of Heroin in the eight bags was 2.08 grams of Heroin.

The sample was resubmitted 3/14/12 so that Kate C. could retest. She reported a net weight of 1.86 grams for the 8 bags. This is slightly less than Annie's determination, but this is because some sample was used up in the first and second testing.

Item [REDACTED] was tested by Annie 12/02/09. It was Heroin with a net weight of 12.60 grams. The sample was resubmitted and retested by Kate and found to be Heroin with a net weight of 12.56 grams. If you have any other questions, call me at 6629. Chuck S.

-----Original Message-----

From: Han, Linda (DPH)
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2012 9:36 AM
To: Salemi, Charles (DPH); O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)
Cc: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Subject: FW: Com. v. [REDACTED] PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION

Chuck and Elisabeth:

Can you respond to the 2 questions in the email below from the AG's office?

Thanks,
Linda

-----Original Message-----

From: Monroe, Natalie (AGO)
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2012 9:32 AM
To: Han, Linda (DPH)
Subject: Com. v. [REDACTED] PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION

Hi Linda, Thank you again for the information about the heroin in this case. I have a couple of other questions (sorry -- I want to be prepared for Tuesday):

1. When were the drugs originally tested (as opposed to the 2012 tests)?
2. I assume the 2012 test results were the same as Annie Dookhan's original test results, as to both weight and composition. Is that correct?

Thank you again,
Natalie

Natalie S. Monroe, Esq.
Chief, Appeals Division
Criminal Bureau
Office of Attorney General Martha Coakley
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108
Phone: 617-963-2634

-----Original Message-----

From: Han, Linda (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 3:05 PM
To: Nassif, Julianne (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH); Chilian, Steve (DPH); Monroe, Natalie (AGO)
Subject: FW: Court Order - Investigation Report

See below info.
Linda

-----Original Message-----

From: Salemi, Charles (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 3:04 PM
To: Han, Linda (DPH)
Cc: O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)
Subject: FW: Court Order - Investigation Report

Hi Linda, Betsy compiled the information you were looking for in regards to the court order Comm v [REDACTED] If you have any other questions call me at 6629. Chuck S

-----Original Message-----

From: O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 2:20 PM
To: Salemi, Charles (DPH)
Subject: FW: Court Order - Investigation Report

Chuck-

I am addressing the 5 questions asked in the previous email from Linda. The ADA on this case is Lisa L Fuccione and she probably has a discovery packet on this.

1. Heroin case just over 14 grams
2. Various dates returned to police as these lab numbers were resubmitted.

[REDACTED]
1/7/10
8/26/10
4/6/12

[REDACTED]
1/7/10
4/6/12

3. Annie was originally the primary chemist.
4. Kate Corbett was the GC/MS.
5. This has already been retested by Kate Corbett

ELO

-----Original Message-----

From: Han, Linda (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 11:30 AM
To: O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)
Cc: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Subject: FW: Court Order - Investigation Report
Importance: High

Hi Elisabeth:

The attached court order was forwarded to our DPH Legal Department yesterday, and from there, to the Atty General Office. The same AGO attorney (Natalie Monroe) who helped with the Abreu case at Plym. Co. Sup. Court in Brockton will also be helping with our response to this order. Her questions are:

1. What type of drugs are at issue and when were they tested?
2. When were the drugs returned to the police?
3. Was Annie the primary or the confirmatory analyst?
4. Who was the other analyst?
5. Will the drugs be re-tested?

Sorry to bug you with this, but they seem anxious to collect all the info ASAP. With the ongoing CT drill, I'm not sure that Julie will have time this afternoon to address this.

Thanks, and let me know please if you need more info.

Linda

-----Original Message-----

From: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 10:16 PM
To: Han, Linda (DPH); Chilian, Steve (DPH); Levin, Donna (DPH)
Subject: Court Order - Investigation Report
Importance: High

Donna, Steve & Linda,
See attached court order from Middlesex County to provide a copy of the investigation report by 4/24/12.
It was hand delivered to the laboratory today.

I am working overnight shift as part of a CDC exercise - it best to reach me by cell phone in the early morning or late afternoon. Exercise conf cal @ 3

Julie

-----Original Message-----

From: DPH-ITS, Scanner (DPH) [mailto:itsscanner@massmail.state.ma.us]
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 10:04 PM
To: Nassif, Julianne (DPH)
Subject:

This E-mail was sent from "DPH-SLI-ROOM348-SCAN" (Aficio MP 2550B).

Scan Date: 04.17.2012 22:04:11 (-0400)
Queries to: itsscanner@massmail.state.ma.us